

FILED

01 SEP 12 PM 3:30

KING COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK
SEATTLE, WA

CERTIFIED
COPY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF KING

In re the Parentage of:

██████████ CHRISTIANSON

██████████ 01
(DOB)

Child,

JAMES D. CHRISTIANSON

Father/Petitioner,

and

SEDERIS W. WRIGHT

Respondent/Mother.

NO. 01-5-00795-6 SEA

ORDER ON SHOW CAUSE RE
CONTEMPT/JUDGMENT
(ORCN)

Next Hearing Date:
Clerk's Action Required

I. JUDGMENT SUMMARY

- Does not apply.
- Judgment summary is as follows:

- A. Judgment creditor
- B. Judgment debtor
- C. Principal judgment amount (back support)
from _____ [Date] to _____ [Date]
- D. Interest to date of judgment
- E. Attorney's fees
- F. Costs
- G. Other recovery amount
- H. Principal judgment shall bear interest at _____ % per annum
- I. Attorney's fees, costs and other recovery amounts shall bear interest at 12 % per annum
- J. Attorney for judgment creditor
- K. Attorney for judgment debtor

James D. Christianson
Sederis W. Wright

\$ _____

\$ _____

\$ _____

\$ 250.00

\$ _____

II. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\PSS_0200.DOC

THIS COURT FINDS:

2.1 COMPLIANCE WITH COURT ORDER.

SEDERIS W. WRIGHT [Name] did comply failed to comply with a lawful order of the court dated JUNE 1, 2001 [Date].

2.2 NATURE OF ORDER.

The order is related to:

- child support
- parenting plan (custody/visitation)
- residential schedule (custody/visitation)
- a restraining order

2.3 HOW THE ORDER WAS VIOLATED.

- The order was not violated.
- This order was violated in the following manner (include dates and times and amounts, if any):

JUNE 19, 2001
 JUNE 20, 2001
 JUNE 21, 2001
 JULY 4, 2001
 JULY 23, 2001

CHILD WAS NOT MADE AVAILABLE 12:30 - 4:30 PM PER JUDGE BARNETT'S ORDER OF JUNE 1, 2001.

CHILD WAS AT DOCTOR APPOINTMENT, MISSED 90 MINUTES OF VISITATION. FATHER WAS NOT INFORMED OF DOCTOR APPOINTMENT.



2.4 PAST ABILITY TO COMPLY WITH ORDER.

SEDERIS W. WRIGHT [Name] had did not have the ability to comply with the order as follows:

2.5 PRESENT ABILITY AND WILLINGNESS TO COMPLY WITH ORDER.

Sederis W. Wright [Name] has does not have the present ability and willingness to comply with the order as follows:

2.6 BACK CHILD SUPPORT.

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\PS5_0200.DOC

- Back child support is not addressed in the contempt motion.
- No back support is owed.
- _____ [Name] failed to pay the other party the sum of \$ _____ support and interest to date in the amount of \$ _____ for the period from _____ [Date] through _____ [Date].
- Other:

2.7 COMPLIANCE WITH PARENTING PLAN.

- Does not apply.
- _____ [Name] has complied and is presently willing to comply, with the parenting plan.
- _____ [Name] has not complied with
 - the residential (visitation) provisions of the parenting plan and had the ability to comply with the parenting plan and is presently unwilling to comply. The noncompliance with the residential provisions was was not in bad faith.
 - decision making provisions of the parenting plan and had the ability to comply with the parenting plan and is presently unwilling to comply.
 - dispute resolution provisions of the parenting plan and had the ability to comply with the parenting plan and is presently unwilling to comply.
- Other:

2.8 ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS.

- Does not apply.
- Attorney fees and costs awarded in Paragraph 3.6 have been incurred and are reasonable.

III. ORDER AND JUDGMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

3.1 SEPERIS WRIGHT _____ [Name] is is not in contempt of court.

3.2 IMPRISONMENT.

- Does not apply.
- _____ [Name] is to be confined in the _____ [Name of county] County Jail.

- Confinement shall commence immediately and shall continue until _____ [Date] or until the contempt is purged as set forth in paragraph 3.5 below, in which case the contemnor shall be released immediately.
- Confinement is suspended as follows:

Other:

3.3 ADDITIONAL RESIDENTIAL TIME.

Does not apply.
 JAMES D. CHRISTIANSON [Name] shall have additional residential time as follows: 30 (90)

SUNDAY SEPTEMBER ~~18, 2001~~ 12:30-4:30 PM
MONDAY SEPTEMBER ~~17, 2001~~ 12:30-4:30 PM
SUNDAY SEPTEMBER ~~23, 2001~~ 12:30 PM - 4:30 PM
MONDAY SEPTEMBER ~~24, 2001~~ 12:30 PM - 4:30 PM

October 1, 2001

AS IS THE CASE ON SATURDAYS, THE MOTHER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR 3RD PARTY DELIVERY OF THE CHILD TO FATHER'S RESIDENCE AT BEGINNING OF VISITATION. FATHER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY OF CHILD TO MOTHER'S RESIDENCE AT END OF VISITATION.

3.4 JUDGMENT FOR PAST CHILD SUPPORT.

Does not apply.
 No judgment for past child support is requested.
 _____ [Name] shall have judgment against _____ [Name] in the amount of \$ _____ and for unpaid child support arrearages \$ _____ interest thereon for the period from _____ [Date] through _____ [Date].

3.5 CONDITIONS FOR PURGING THE CONTEMPT.

Does not apply.
 The contemner may purge the contempt as follows:
By ABIDING BY THE ADDITIONAL RESIDENTIAL TIME SCHEDULE IN SECTION 3.3.

3.6 ATTORNEYS FEES/COSTS.

Does not apply.
 JAMES CHRISTIANSON [Name] shall have judgment against SEDERIS W. WRIGHT [Name] in the amount of \$ 1,000 for attorney's fees and \$ 509 for costs.

3.7 REVIEW DATE.

Does not apply. 6250
(90) mm

[] The court shall review this matter on _____ [Date] at _____ a.m./p.m.

3.8 OTHER

SANCTION OF \$250 AGAINST ATTORNEY MARK B. LINDS IS ORDERED. (JAMES D. CHRISTENSEN SHALL HAVE THIS JUDGEMENT)
GC MSS
MMV

3.9 SUMMARY OF RCW 26.09.430 - .480, REGARDING RELOCATION OF A CHILD.

This is a summary only. For the full text, please see RCW 26.09.430 through 26.09.480.

If the person with whom the child resides a majority of the time plans to move, that person shall give notice to every person entitled to court ordered time with the child.

If the move is outside the child's school district, the relocating person must give notice by personal service or by mail requiring a return receipt. This notice must be at least 60 days before the intended move. If the relocating person could not have known about the move in time to give 60 days' notice, that person must give notice within 5 days after learning of the move. The notice must contain the information required in RCW 26.09.440. See also form DRPSCU 07.0500, (Notice of Intended Relocation of A Child).

If the move is within the same school district, the relocating person must provide actual notice by any reasonable means. A person entitled to time with the child may not object to the move but may ask for modification under RCW 26.09.260.

Notice may be delayed for 21 days if the relocating person is entering a domestic violence shelter or is moving to avoid a clear, immediate and unreasonable risk to health and safety.

If information is protected under a court order or the address confidentiality program, it may be withheld from the notice.

A relocating person may ask the court to waive any notice requirements that may put the health and safety of a person or a child at risk.

Failure to give the required notice may be grounds for sanctions, including contempt.

If no objection is filed within 30 days after service of the notice of intended relocation, the relocation will be permitted and the proposed revised residential schedule may be confirmed.

A person entitled to time with a child under a court order can file an objection to the child's relocation whether or not he or she received proper notice.

An objection may be filed by using the mandatory pattern form WPF DRPSCU 07.0700, (Objection to Relocation/Petition for Modification of Custody Decree/parenting Plan/Residential Schedule). The objection must be served on all persons entitled to time with the child.

A person entitled to time with a child under a court order can file an objection to the child's relocation whether or not he or she received proper notice.

An objection may be filed by using the mandatory pattern form WPF DRPSCU 07.0700, (Objection to Relocation/Petition for Modification of Custody Decree/parenting Plan/Residential Schedule). The objection must be served on all persons entitled to time with the child.

The relocating person shall not move the child during the time for objection unless: (a) the delayed notice provisions apply; or (b) a court order allows the move.

If the objecting person schedules a hearing for a date within 15 days of timely service of the objection, the relocating person shall not move the child before the hearing unless there is a clear, immediate and unreasonable risk to the health or safety of a person or a child.

WARNING: Violation of residential provisions of this order with actual knowledge of its terms is punishable by contempt of court and may be a criminal offense under RCW 9A.040.060(2) or 9A.40.070(2). Violation of this order may subject a violator to arrest.

Dated: SEPTEMBER 12, 2001

MARILYN P. S. SELLERS
JUDGE/COMMISSIONER

Presented by:

James D. Christiansen
Signature

JAMES D. CHRISTIANSEN
Print or Type Name

Approved for entry:

Mark Levitz
Signature

MARK LEVITZ #13252
Print or Type Name

MRS Sellers

Megan Orner Stanley
Megan Orner Stanley
GAL for child
USBA # 24554